USCA4 Appeal: 24-1406 Doc: 5 Filed: 05/15/2024 Pg: 1 of 4

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT DOCKETING STATEMENT--CIVIL/AGENCY CASES

Directions: Counsel must make a **docketing statement (civil/agency) filed** entry in CM/ECF within 14 days of docketing of the appeal, or within the due date set by the clerk's docketing notice, whichever is later. File with the entry the (1) docketing statement form with any extended answers and (2) any transcript order form. Parties proceeding pro se are not required to file a docketing statement. Opposing counsel who finds a docketing statement inaccurate or incomplete may file any objections within 10 days of service of the docketing statement using the ECF event-docketing statement objection/correction filed.

Appeal No. & Caption	24-1406; Michael T. Parker, et al. v. NewRez LLC
Originating No. & Caption	8:20-cv-03851-ADC; Michael T. Parker, et al. v. NewRez LLC
Originating Court/Agency	U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland

Jurisdiction (answer any that apply)		
Statute establishing jurisdiction in Court of Appeals	28 U.S.C. 129	91
Time allowed for filing in Court of Appeals	30 days	
Date of entry of order or judgment appealed	April 24, 2024	ļ
Date notice of appeal or petition for review filed	May 4, 2024	
If cross appeal, date first appeal filed	N/A	
Date of filing any post-judgment motion	N/A	
Date order entered disposing of any post-judgment motion	N/A	
Date of filing any motion to extend appeal period	N/A	
Time for filing appeal extended to	N/A	
Is appeal from final judgment or order?	© Yes	O No
If appeal is not from final judgment, why is order appealable	e?	

Settlement (The docketing statement is used by the mediation conducted under Local Rule 33. Counsel calling the Office of the Circuit Mediator at 843-733	l may make a confidenti	
Is settlement being discussed?	O Yes	⊙ No

USCA4 Appeal: 24-1406 Doc: 5 Filed: 05/15/2024 Pg: 2 of 4

Transcript (transcript order must be attached if	transcript is needed a	and not yet on file)	
Is transcript needed for this appeal?	O Yes	© No	
Has transcript been filed in district court?	O Yes	© No	
Is transcript order attached?	O Yes	© No	

Case Handling Requirements (answer any that app	ly)	
Case number of any prior appeal in same case	N/A	
Case number of any pending appeal in same case	N/A	
Identification of any case pending in this Court or	N/A	
Supreme Court raising similar issue	If abeyance or consolidation is warranted, counsel must file an appropriate motion.	
Is expedited disposition necessary?	O Yes	© No
	If yes, motion to expedite must be filed.	
Is oral argument necessary?	© Yes	O No
Does case involve question of first impression?	⊙ Yes	O No
Does appeal challenge constitutionality of federal	O Yes	© No
or state statute in case to which federal or state government is not a party	If yes, notice re: challenge to constitutionality of law must be filed.	

Nature of Case (Nature of case and disposition below. Attach additional page if necessary.)

Plaintiffs Michael T. Parker and Patrice Parker sued defendant NewRez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing for statutory damages and other relief arising out of Shellpoint's imposition of certain home inspection fees onto their mortgage account in violation of Maryland's usury laws (Md. Code Com Law section 12-121). The Plaintiffs sought to represent a class of similarly situated persons. Shellpoint had represented to the Court (for purposes of removal) and to Maryland regulatory authorities that it had imposed thousands of illegal home inspection fees on Maryland mortgagors from 2014 through 2018 and beyond. The court below erroneously determined on summary judgment that the type of inspection fee imposed on the Parkers' account was not the type of fee made illegal by the statute. Before the court ruled on that issue, and while the motion for class certification was pending, Proposed Plaintiff/Intervenor Alice Mejia sought to intervene on behalf of herself and the class that was pled in the operative amended complaint and in the pending motion. Many of the inspection fees Shellpoint had imposed on Ms. Mejia's account were indisutably the type of home inspection fee that is deemed usurious by the Maryland statute. Nevertheless, the Court erroneously denied her motion to intervene as "moot" simultaneously upon the grant of summary judgment on the Parkers' claim.

USCA4 Appeal: 24-1406 Doc: 5 Filed: 05/15/2024 Pg: 3 of 4

Issues (Non-binding statement of issues on appeal.	Attach additional page if necessary)
Adverse Parties (List adverse parties to this appearance party is not represented by counsel. Attach addition	
Adverse Party: NewRez LLC	Adverse Party:
Attorney: Melissa O. Martinez Address: McGuireWoods LLP 500 E. Pratt St., Suite 1000 Baltimore, MD 21202	Attorney: Address:
E-mail: mmartinez@mcguirewoods.com	E-mail:
Phone: (410) 659-4432	Phone:
Adverse Parties (continued)	
Adverse Party: NewRez LLC	Adverse Party:
Attorney: Brian E. Pumphrey Address: McGuireWoods LLP 800 E. Canal St. Richmond, VA 23219	Attorney: Address:
E-mail: bpumphrey@mcguirewoods.com	E-mail:
Phone: (804) 775-7745	Phone:

USCA4 Appeal: 24-1406 Doc: 5 Filed: 05/15/2024 Pg: 4 of 4

Appellant (Attach additional page if necessary.)	
Name: M. Parker, P. Parker, A. Mejia	Name: M. Parker, P. Parker, A. Mejia
Attorney: Phillip R. Robinson Address: Consumer Law Center LLC 10125 Colesville Rd., Suite 378 Silver Spring, MD 20901	Attorney: Thomas J. Minton Address: Goldman & Minton, P.C. 3600 Clipper Mill Rd., Suite 201 Baltimore, MD 21211
E-mail: phillip@marylandconsumer.com	E-mail: tminton@charmcitylegal.com
Phone: (301) 448-1304	Phone: (410) 783-7575
Appellant (continued)	
Name: M. Parker, P. Parker, A. Mejia	Name:
Attorney: Scott C. Borison Address: Borison Firm LLC 1400 S. Charles St. Baltimore, MD 21230	Attorney: Address:
E-mail: scott@borisonfirm.com	E-mail:
Phone: (310) 620-1016	Phone:
Signature: /S/ Thomas J. Minton	Date: 5/15/2024
gignature. 707 mioriao o minton	Date.
Counsel for: Appellants	
	rved outside CM/ECF): I certify that this personal delivery; mail; third-party